Problem set 5

"due" 4/14/10

Problem 1 By next year, the stock you own has a 25% probability of being worth \$400 and a 75% probability of being worth \$200. What are the expected value and the variance?

Problem 2 Lisa just inherited a vineyard from a distant relative. In good years (no rain or frost), she earns \$10,000 from the vineyard. In bad years, she earns only \$2,500. She estimates that the probability of a good year is 60%.

a. Calculate the expected value and variance of Lisa's income from the vineyard.

b. Suppose Lisa has utility function $u(w) = \sqrt{w}$, where w is her wealth. Assume she has 0 initial wealth. Ethan, a grape buyer, offers to lease the vineyard from Lisa for \$6,500 next year, so that Lisa would get \$6,500 regardless of whether it was a good year or a bad year. Will Lisa accept this offer?

c. Why might Ethan make such an offer? Give three reasons, and explain each. One of these reasons should refer to his attitude toward risk.

Problem 3 Larry owns a house worth \$100,000. There is a 10% chance it will burn down, in which case it will be worth \$20,000. There is a 90% chance it will not burn down and continue to be worth \$100,000. Larry's utility function is $u(w) = \sqrt{w}$, where w is how much his house is worth.

a. Suppose Eagle Insurance offers Larry \$1 worth of insurance for 10 cents. That is, Larry can transfer wealth to the state of the world in which the house burns down from the state in which it does not at the rate 10:1. How much insurance will Larry purchase?

b. Is Eagle's price for \$1 of insurance likely to be higher or lower than that of part a? Why? Will Larry buy more or less insurance than in part a?

Problem 4 Suppose that two investments have the same three payoffs, but the probabilities associated with each payoff differs, as follows:

	Probability	Probability
payoff	(investment A)	(investment B)
\$300	.10	.30
\$250	.80	.40
\$200	.10	.30

a. Find the expected return and standard deviation of each investment.

b. Jill has the utility function u(w) = 5w, where w is the investment's payoff (assume she has initial wealth 0). Which investment does she prefer?

c. Ken has the utility function $u(w) = 5\sqrt{w}$. Which investment will be choose?

d. Delores has the utility function $u(w) = 5w^2$. Which will she choose?

Problem 5 Suppose that, for a certain model of used car, 25% of owners value their car at \$20,000, 25% at \$15,000, 25% at \$10,000, and 25% at \$5,000. Suppose that buyers value this particular used car at 1.2 times its value to its owner. Owners know how much they value their car, but this is private information; to buyers, all cars look the same.

a. If all four types of used car are traded, what is the highest price a buyer would be willing to pay for a used car? At this price, which owners would be willing to sell?

b. Now suppose the owners with the \$20,000 cars do not participate in the used car market, but all other owners do. Now what is the maximum price a buyer is willing to pay for a used car? Now which sellers are willing to participate in the market?

c. Argue that adverse selection causes this market to partially unravel, such that only the worst used cars are traded in any equilibrium.

Problem 6 In *Freakonomics*, economist Steven Levitt discusses the online dating world. According to him, about 40 million Americans a year try to date online. Clearly, there are information problems in online dating, as the person writing the listing has private information about himself/herself. About 70% of online daters list themselves as having "above average" looks, with only about 1% of online daters having "below average looks". Online men claim to be an inch taller than average, and online women claim to be 20 pounds lighter than average. 57% of men and 23% of women posting profiles online never get even one response.

a. Explain why this "market" is not functioning well. What is the economic term we use to describe this problem?

Consider the following simple model of online dating. Suppose 30% of people are of quality 1 (lemons!), 20% are of quality 3, 30% are of quality 5, and 20% are of quality 10, whereh "quality" is some measure of a persons attractiveness to potential dates. Quality is perfectly observable in the real world but completely unobservable in the online world. In the real world (where quality is observable), people of quality 1 can only date other people of quality 1; individuals of quality 3 can date individuals of quality 3 or lower, etc Anyone can find a date matching his/her own quality in the real world if he/she chooses. However, dating online requires much less effort. As such, the utility from going on a date with someone of quality q met in the online world is u(q) = q, while the utility from going on a date with someone of quality q met in the online world is u(q) = 1.5q.

b. Suppose that all individuals look for a date online, what is the expected utility from going on a date with a person met online?

c. Considering your answer in b, who will look for a date online and who will look for a date in the real world? Explain briefly.

d. Recompute the expected utility of dating online after considering your result in c. Who looks for a date online now?

e. Again recompute the expected utility of dating online after considering your result in d. Who looks for a date online now?

f. Think about the results above Who dates online in the equilibrium of this game? Explain why this is an equilibrium.

g. Explain why it is not an equilibrium for everyone to date online.

h. Is it more efficient in this model for everyone to find dates online or for everyone to find dates in the real world? Why is it not possible to implement the efficient outcome?

i. In the used car market, a common way to ameliorate the information problem is to have a car inspected. What is the most common way on dating websites to ameliorate the information problem (i.e. so that "quality 10" person doesn't end up on a date with a "quality 1" person)?

in i?