Homework 6

due 4/12/2011

Problem 1 In stage 1, each of a large pool of firms decides whether or not to enter a market, at startup cost K. In stage 2, the J firms that decided to enter in stage 1 compete in a Cournot oligopoly. The demand curve for this market is P(Q) = A - BQ, and each entrant has cost function c(q) = K (constant marginal cost of zero).

a. Solve for the subgame perfect equilibrium number of firms, as a function of K.

b. As entry cost K approaches zero, the number of firms entering the market in the SPE of the 2-stage game goes approaches ∞ . What happens to the total entry cost paid by all J firms, J * K? Does it approach 0? ∞ ? Something in between?

Problem 2 A seller has one unit of a good which she may sell to a buyer. The seller has private information about her valuation of the good, v, which is drawn from [0, 1] according to the uniform distribution. When the seller's valuation of the good is v, the buyer's valuation is kv, where k > 1. The buyer does not observe his valuation, however, but does have accurate knowledge of the distribution of the seller's valuation. Both players are risk-neutral.

a. Suppose that the buyer makes a take-it-or-leave-it offer to the seller. That is, the buyer offers a price at which he is willing to buy, the seller either accepts or rejects, and rejection results in no sale. Describe all subgame perfect equilibria in pure strategies. How does your analysis depend on the value of k?

b. Suppose now that the seller makes a take-it-or-leave-it offer. That is, the seller charges a price, the buyer either accepts or rejects, and rejection results in no sale. Describe all perfect Bayesian equilibria in pure strategies. How does your analysis depend on the value of k?

Problem 3 Consider a labor market in which two firms are hiring workers of unknown productivity θ . In this market, both firms simultaneously post wage offers, which workers than decide to accept or not. Firms also have the option of shutting down and making zero profit. If a worker of productivity θ is hired by a firm, he is able to produce θ units; if he is hired by neither firm, he has reservation utility $r(\theta) = b\theta - a$. Interpret $b\theta$ as the amount a worker can produce at home, and a as the stigma from being unemployed. The productivity θ is distributed uniformly on [0, 1]. An equilibrium wage in this market is defined as a SPE of the game described above. Assume that a worker who is indifferent between working and staying at home will choose to work.

a. Give necessary conditions for *a* and *b* such that the equilibrium wage is an interior solution, i.e. one in which both employed and unemployed workers are of positive measure.

b. Assuming the conditions you have derived in a., what is the equilibrium wage in this market? Is the wage increasing or decreasing in the stigma of being unemployed? Give an intuitive explanation.

Now suppose that the game described above is repeated twice, and that furthermore, workers are not anonymous. Specifically, at the end of the first period, each firm observes the wage posted by the other firm and the identity of all workers who have accepted a wage offer by either firm. To rule out any multiplicities, assume that firms do not post any offers that they expect no one to accept, and that in equilibrium either both firms shut down or none do. **c.** Assume again the conditions you derived in a. Call a wage schedule *separating* if it never offers the same second-period wage to both the previously unemployed and the previously unemployed. Show that in any SPE of this game, if firms do not offer a separating wage schedule, they must post the same wage to all workers in both periods. Go on to argue that such a constant wage schedule can never be an equilibrium.

d. Now assume that $b = \frac{5}{4}$ and $a = \frac{1}{4}$. Derive the equilibrium wage schedules (you can assume that all wages are interior).