

Problem set 3

due 10/14/2009

Problem 1 (Repeated prisoners' dilemma) Consider the following game:

		Player 2	
		<i>C</i>	<i>D</i>
Player 1	<i>C</i>	8,8	-1,21
	<i>D</i>	21,-1	0,0

- i) Draw a picture of all payoffs supportable in a SPE equilibrium of the infinitely-repeated version of this game, provided δ is high enough.
- ii) Determine how high δ must be for C, C to be played in every period of a SPE.
- iii) What is the highest symmetric payoff that can be achieved in a SPE of the repeated game? Write down strategies that implement this payoff, and determine how high δ must be for your strategies to comprise a SPE. (hints: have the players alternate between C, D and D, C , with permanent reversion to the NE D, D if anyone deviates. Then show that the limit of each player's payoff as $\delta \rightarrow 1$ is 10. Alternatively, you can have the players flip a coin to determine who defects first, and then in expectation each will have a payoff of 10.)

Problem 2 (Repeated games and minmaxing) Consider the following game:

		Caliban		
		<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>c</i>
Elroy	<i>A</i>	1,2	5,1	1,0
	<i>B</i>	2,1	4,4	0,0
	<i>C</i>	0,1	0,0	0,0

- i) Find all Nash equilibria of the one-shot version of this game. Make sure to support your answer by drawing each player's best response correspondence and examining all possible supports.
- ii) Determine each player's minmax value, and the strategy his opponent would use to minmax him.
- iii) Show that a payoff of $(4, 4)$ can be supported in a SPE using a Nash reversion strategy if and only if $\delta \geq \frac{1}{2}$.
- iv) Show that for every $\delta \geq \frac{1}{4}$, there is a SPE strategy profile yielding payoffs of $(4, 4)$. (Hint: Nash reversion will not work here.)

Problem 3 (Oligopoly) Suppose market demand is given by $p(q) = a - bq$, and there are two firms, each with a constant marginal costs of c and no fixed cost. The two firms choose quantity simultaneously, and then sell whatever they have produced at the prevailing market price.

- i) Determine NE quantities for both firms. Demonstrate that there is only one equilibrium in this game.

- ii) Derive the market price, and the profit for each firm. Show that the total quantity produced is greater than the monopoly quantity, but less than the competitive quantity.
- iii) How high would δ need to be for there to be a SPE in which firm 1 receives fraction α of the monopoly profit and firm 2 receives fraction $1 - \alpha$? Make sure to say how your answer depends on α , including pointing out for what ranges of α no such equilibrium is possible.
- iv) Now suppose the game is played only once, but in which firm 1 moves first. Firm 2 moves only after observing the quantity firm 1 chooses. Derive the SPE of this game.
- v) Finally, suppose there are J firms serving the market. In the static case, determine NE quantities and profits for each of the J firms. Show that as $J \rightarrow \infty$, total production approaches the competitive level, while when $J = 1$, we get the monopoly outcome.

Problem 4 Consider the following game:

		firm 2	
		S	C
firm 1	S	5,2	3,1
	C	6,3	4,4

Note that if this game is played simultaneously, the equilibrium outcome is C, C , while if firm 1 moves first, the outcome is S, S .

Now assume that the game is played sequentially, but instead of observing 1's action directly, 2 observes a signal $\phi \in \{S', C'\}$ such that $p(\phi = S' | S) = 1 - \epsilon$ and $p(\phi = C' | C) = 1 - \epsilon$, for $\epsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{4})$.

- i) Show that the only equilibrium in pure strategies is C, C .
- ii) Let λ equal the probability 1 plays S , and let $\eta(S')$ and $\eta(C')$ denote the probability 2 plays S after signals S' and C' , respectively. Show that there are exactly two mixed strategy equilibria, one at

$$\lambda = 1 - \epsilon, \eta(S') = 1, \eta(C') = \frac{1 - 4\epsilon}{2(1 - 2\epsilon)}$$

and one located at

$$\lambda = \epsilon, \eta(S') = \frac{1}{2 - 4\epsilon}, \eta(C') = 0 \tag{1}$$

make sure to point out what player 2's beliefs are in your answer.

- iii) Show that one of your mixed strategy equilibria converges to the C, C equilibrium as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, while the other converges to the S, S equilibrium as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.